A SHORT INTRODUCTION TO QUANTUM ENTANGLEMENT

Mário Ziman

Research Center for Quantum Information, Bratislava

Historical background

- entanglement a relationship or involvement that compromises the participants
- quantum entanglement introduced by E.Schrödinger ("entanglement of predictions")

E. Schrödinger, *Die gegenwärtige Situation in der Quantenmechanik* Naturwissenschaften 23: pp.807-812; 823-828; 844-849 (1935) http://www.tu-harburg.de/rzt/rzt/it/QM/cat.html

- existence of two-particle states $\Psi_{AB} \neq \phi_A \otimes \chi_B$
- properties of individual systems seems to be senseless in such cases
- strange "correlations" of predictions between experiments on individual particles



Einstein-Podolski-Rosen problem

- realism = ability of deterministic predictions require that the state possess the property before the measurement, i.e. even without the measurement
- locality = no instantenuous actions, i.e. operations on system A does not affect the properties of system B instantenuously, and vice versa
- EPR requirement every theory must satisfy such conditions
- two half-spins in state $|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle_A \otimes |\downarrow\rangle_B |\downarrow\rangle_A \otimes |\uparrow\rangle_B)$

- fact: measuring $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes I_B$ determines outcomes of $I_A \otimes \vec{b} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ with certainty if $\vec{b} = \vec{a}$
- local realism \Rightarrow spin B must possess the property "having spin \vec{a} " before the measurement, or we must consider existence of instantenuous nonlocal action

Einstein-Podolski-Rosen problem

- two half-spins in state $|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle_A \otimes |\downarrow\rangle_B |\downarrow\rangle_A \otimes |\uparrow\rangle_B)$
- local realism \Rightarrow spin B must possess the property "having spin \vec{a} " before the measurement, or we must consider existence of instantenuous nonlocal action
- 1st BUT: choice of \vec{a} is arbitrary and can be decided after the state is created local realism \Rightarrow spin is determined in all directions
- 2nd BUT: QT description \Rightarrow spin can be determined at most in one direction !!!
- EPR conclusion \rightarrow quantum state description is incomplete and allows spooky actions at a distance
- alternative: local hidden variables predicting individual outcomes
- EPR believed that such theory is possible

Bell inequalities

- local realistic model: $A(\vec{a},\lambda), B(\vec{b},\lambda) \in \pm 1$ and $\langle \vec{a} \otimes \vec{b} \rangle = \int d\lambda \varrho(\lambda) A(\vec{a},\lambda) B(\vec{b},\lambda)$
- $\bullet~\lambda$ is the hidden parameter, or set of parameters
- knowledge of $\lambda \Rightarrow$ ability to make deterministic predictions for all measurements
- local hidden variable model

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{LHV} &= \left| \langle \vec{a} \otimes (\vec{b} + \vec{b}') + \vec{a}' \otimes (\vec{b} - \vec{b}') \rangle \right| \\
&= \left| \beta \, \mathrm{d}\lambda A(\vec{a}, \lambda) [B(\vec{b}, \lambda) + B'(\vec{b}', \lambda)] + A'(\vec{a}', \lambda) [B(\vec{b}, \lambda) - B'(\vec{b}', \lambda)] \right| \\
&\leq \beta \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \left| A(\vec{a}, \lambda) [B(\vec{b}, \lambda) + B'(\vec{b}', \lambda)] + A'(\vec{a}', \lambda) [B(\vec{b}, \lambda) - B'(\vec{b}', \lambda)] \right| \\
&\leq 2
\end{aligned}$$
(1)

• quantum theory prediction for singlet

$$\mathcal{B}_{QM} = |\langle \vec{a} \otimes (\vec{b} + \vec{b}') + \vec{a}' \otimes (\vec{b} - \vec{b}') \rangle| = |-\vec{a} \cdot (\vec{b} + \vec{b}') - \vec{a} \cdot (\vec{b} - \vec{b}')|$$

$$= 2\sqrt{2} > 2 \ge \mathcal{B}_{LHV}$$

$$(2)$$

• QM violates the LHV model contraints given by Bell inequality

<u>Outline</u>

- 1. History and motivation
- 2. LOCC operations and entanglement
- 3. Maximally entangled states
- 4. Applications of maximally entangled states

Pure states entanglement

- entanglement: difference between classical and quantum
 - feature of quantum state necessary in violation of BI, nonexistence of LHV model
- definition: pure state $|\Phi\rangle_{AB}$ is entangled if and only if $|\Phi\rangle_{AB} \neq |\phi\rangle_A \otimes |\chi\rangle_B$
- Schmidt decomposition: (important tool)

$$\Phi\rangle_{AB} = \Sigma_{j=0}^{d-1} \sqrt{\lambda_j} |e_j\rangle_A \otimes |f_j\rangle_B \tag{3}$$

where $\langle e_j | e'_j \rangle = \delta_{jj'}$, $\langle f_j | f'_j \rangle = \delta_{jj'}$ and λ_j are positive and sum up to unity. Hence all states are locally unitary equivalent to states $|\Psi\rangle_{AB} = a|00\rangle + b|11\rangle = (U_A \otimes U_B)|\Phi\rangle_{AB}$.

- $\vec{\lambda}_{\Phi} = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{d-1})$ is the vector of Schmidt numbers ordered decreasingly, i.e. $\lambda_0 \ge \lambda_1 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_{d-1}$.
- what about mixed states?

Concept of LOCC operations

- central notion describing specific manipulation of physical systems
- LOCC = local operations (local measurements, local Hamiltonians) and classical communication
- for classical states:
 - all states are closed under LOCC operations, i.e. for all probability distributions $\pi(a, b), \pi'(a, b): \pi \leftrightarrow \pi'$ by means of LOCC
 - all classical operations are LOCC type
- for pure quantum states:
 - factorized states are closed under LOCC operations
 - entangled pure states can be transformed into factorized states
- LOCC-based partial ordering

 $\varrho \succ \omega$ if there exists \mathcal{E}_{LOCC} such that $\mathcal{E}_{LOCC}[\varrho] = \omega$

Entanglement for mixed states

- LOCC-based partial ordering: $\rho \succ \omega$ if there exists $\mathcal{E}_{\text{LOCC}}$ such that $\mathcal{E}_{\text{LOCC}}[\varrho] = \omega$
- separable states S_{sep}
 - def 1: set of LOCC-smallest states
 - def 2: convex hull of factorized states, i.e. $\rho = \sum_j p_j |\phi_j\rangle \langle \phi_j| \otimes |\chi_j\rangle \langle \chi_j|$.
 - closed under LOCC operations
 - every state can be transformed into arbitrary separable state
- entangled states: complement of the set of separable states, i.e. $S_{ent} = S(H) \setminus S_{sep}$
- formal definition: a state ϱ is entangled if and only if it cannot be written in the form

 $\varrho \neq \Sigma_j p_j |\phi_j\rangle \langle \phi_j | \otimes |\chi_j\rangle \langle \chi_j |$

Maximally entangled states

- definition: states from which all states can be prepared by deterministic LOCC
- alternatively, largest element(s) with respect to LOCC ordering
- is/are there such state/states? if yes, are they LOCC related?
- sufficient to prove for pure states, because mixed states are just classical distributions over pure states, i.e. can be prepared by means of LOCC

Maximally entangled pure states

- definition: states from which all states can be prepared by deterministic LOCC
- pure states: $|\Psi\rangle \rightarrow |\Phi\rangle$ iff $\vec{\lambda}_{\Psi} \prec \vec{\lambda}_{\Phi}$ (majorization criterion), i.e. $\Sigma_{j=0}^{J} \lambda_{j}^{\Psi} \leq \Sigma_{j=0}^{J} \lambda_{j}^{\Phi}$ for all $J = 0, \ldots, d-1$.
- maximally entagled pure state $\lambda_j^{\Psi} = 1/d$ for all j, i.e. $|\Psi_+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \Sigma |j\rangle_A \otimes |j\rangle_B$.
- preparation of $|\Psi\rangle = a|00\rangle + b|11\rangle$:
 - 1. addition of ancilla $|0\rangle_{A'}\otimes|\Psi_+\rangle_{AB}$
 - 2. local unitary operation $|00\rangle_{AA'} \rightarrow a|00\rangle_{AA'} + b|11\rangle_{AA'}$, $|01\rangle_{AA'} \rightarrow b|01\rangle_{AA'} + a|10\rangle_{AA'}$ resulting in state $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|0\rangle_{A'} \otimes (a|00\rangle_{AB} + b|11\rangle_{AB}) + |1\rangle_{A'} \otimes (b|10\rangle_{AB} + a|01\rangle_{AB})]$
 - 3. measurement $|0\rangle\langle 0|_{A'}\otimes I_{AB} |1\rangle\langle 1|_{A'}\otimes I_{AB} = \sigma_z^{A'}\otimes I_{AB}$
 - 4. Alice sends result to Bob
 - 5. Bob performs $\sigma_0 = I$, or $\sigma_1 = \sigma_x$ on his qubit to end up with state $a|00\rangle + b|11\rangle$ deterministically.

Maximally entangled states

- solution & definition: state is maximally entangled iff it is pure and its subsystems are in total mixture state, i.e. $\text{Tr}_B\Psi_{AB} = \text{Tr}_A\Psi_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}I$.
- LOCC transformations can transform maximally entangled state to arbitrary other state
- All maximally entangled states Ψ, Ψ' are locally unitarily equivalent, i.e. $\Psi' = (U_A \otimes U_B)\Psi$, in fact $\Psi' = (U_A \otimes I)\Psi$
- Maximally entangled state cannot be prepared from any other state by mens of LOCC operations, i.e. $\varrho \not\rightarrow \Psi_+$
- if $\rho \to \Psi_+$, then ρ is maximally entangled state.

Application: superdense coding

- situation: Alice and Bob share $|\Psi_+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$
- step 1 (encoding): apply operation $\sigma_j \otimes I_B$ on state $|\Psi_+
 angle$
- main trick: orthogonal basis related by local unitary transformations

$$\langle (\sigma_k \otimes I_B) \Psi_+ | (\sigma_j \otimes I_B) \Psi_+ \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\sigma_j \sigma_k] = \delta_{jk}$$
 (4)

- step 2 (qubit transfer): Alice sends her qubit to Bob
- step 3 (measurement): Bell measurement in basis $|(\sigma_j \otimes I)\Psi_+\rangle$ gives j
- usual magic note: qubit channel transfers 2 classical bits per one usage, but at most single bit can be extracted from single qubit alone [classical bound]
- transfer is secure, because the transmitted qubit does not contain any information
- 2cbits=qbit + EPR

Application: quantum teleportation

- not a matter transfer and not instantenuous = not StarTrek teleportation
- mathematics behind

$$\begin{split} \phi \rangle_S \otimes |\Psi_+\rangle_{AB} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\phi\rangle_S \otimes [|\uparrow\rangle_A |\uparrow\rangle_B + |\downarrow\rangle_A |\downarrow\rangle_B] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{j=0}^3 |(I_S \otimes \sigma_j) \Psi_+\rangle_{SA} \otimes |\sigma_j \phi\rangle_B \end{split}$$
(5)

- mutually orthogonal states $|(\sigma_j \otimes I)\Psi_+\rangle$ forming Bell measurement
- step 1 (measurement): Alice measures outcome j and Bob's spin is in state $|\sigma_j\phi\rangle$
- step 2 (communication): transfer of 2 bits of information encoding the value j
- step 3 (correction): Bob applies σ_j to recover the original state $|\phi\rangle_B$ $(\sigma_j^2 = I)$
- note: teleportation transfers "only" (quantum) information and it is not instantenuous
- qbit=2cbits+EPR

Entanglement theory

- decide (theoretically/experimentally) whether a given state is entangled, or not
- task: entanglement identification and quantification (entanglement measures)
- lacking of operational meaning of entanglement
- Bell inequality? \rightarrow there are (mixed) entangled states with LHV models (Werner, 1982)
- \bullet teleportation? \rightarrow existence of bound entangled states
- superdense coding? \rightarrow entangled states with $C_{\text{quantum}}(\varrho) \leq C_{\text{class}}^{\max}$
- correlations? → equivalent for pure states, but for mixed states the intrinsic quantum correlations (entanglement) cannot be separated from "classical" correlations
- nonlocality ⇔ entanglement ⇔ correlations

Concluding remarks

- state ρ is entangled if and only if $\rho \neq \Sigma_j p_j |\psi_j\rangle \langle \psi_j| \otimes |\phi_j\rangle \langle \phi_j|$
- main concept: LOCC operations and LOCC-induced ordering
- nonlocality \Leftrightarrow entanglement \Leftrightarrow nonclassical correlations
- applications: teleportation, superdense coding, cryptography, q-computation
- entanglement is still not conceptually understood (lacking of operational definition)
- easy for pure states and two qubits
- multipartite entanglement (phase transitions, monogamy)

Good references

- 1. Ryszard Horodecki, Pawel Horodecki, Michal Horodecki and Karol Horodecki: Quantum entanglement, Rev.Mod.Phys, quant-ph/0702225
- 2. Martin Plenio, Shashank Virmani: An intorduction to entanglement measures, quantph/0504163
- Aditi Sen(De), Ujjwal Sen, Maciej Lewenstein, Anna Sanpera: Lectures on Quantum Information: Chapter 1 (The separability versus entanglement problem), quantph/0508032
- 4. Karol Zyczkowski and Ingemar Bengtsson: An introduction to quantum entanglement: a geometric approach, quant-ph/0606228

Entanglement measures - axioms

- 1. Sharpness $E(\varrho) = 0$ iff ϱ is not entangled
- 2. Local unitary invariance $E(\varrho) = E(U_1 \otimes U_2 \varrho U_1^{\dagger} U_2^{\dagger})$
- 3. Nonincresing under LOCC $E(\varrho) \geq \sum_j p_j E(\mathcal{M}_j[\varrho])$
- 4. Normalization $E(\varrho)$ is maximal only for maximally entangled states
- 5. Convexity $E(\varrho) \leq \Sigma_j p_j E(\varrho_j)$
- 6. Additivity $E(\varrho\otimes\sigma)=E(\varrho)+S(\varrho)$
- 7. Continuity